SCALING OPENSTACK CLOUDS WITH NOVA CELLS
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INTRODUCTION

According to the OpenStack Foundation’s OpenStack User Survey 2016, Nova is the most commonly used project in OpenStack deployments. However, many users find Nova to have hidden capabilities that aren’t always apparent or easy to understand. In this white paper, we will explore Nova Cells, which offer compelling advantages for streamlining compute capabilities in OpenStack.

WHAT ARE NOVA CELLS?

Simply put, cells are an experimental construct within the OpenStack project that represents a grouping of compute resources. Their functionality enables you to scale an OpenStack compute cloud in a more distributed fashion without complicated technologies like database and message queue clustering.

With cells, you can choose to group compute resources based on characteristics that include hardware specs, network limitations, regional diversity and/or operator preferences. Regardless of why each cell is created within a particular deployment, each cell typically represents a subset of the capacity behind a single API endpoint. They are a step in the scheduling process — cell first, individual host second.

WHY RACKSPACE CREATED CELLS

Not long after we started building our Nova-based Cloud Servers offering, Rackspace created cells. We did this for several reasons. One was convenience — cells allowed us to group capacity in a manner similar to the way we managed hosts in our legacy Cloud Server product built on Slicehost technology. In our legacy product, we called them Huddles. Introducing cells gave us data sharding, service distribution and let us manage our OpenStack-based cloud the same way we had its predecessor. Cells also fit nicely with our supply-chain model. Finally, it gave us a way to group not only similar specs together, but also to keep a cell homogeneous from a vendor/model perspective.

WHY CHOOSE CELLS?

There are a number of reasons that you may choose to use cells, though addressing scaling issues seems to be a common theme. For deep commentary, review this presentation at the Paris summit where operators from CERN, NeCTAR and Rackspace discuss why each chose to use cells (https://www.OpenStack.org/summit/OpenStack-paris-summit-2014/session-videos/presentation/multi-cell-OpenStack-how-to-evolve-your-cloud-to-scale).

Here are a few of the reasons a cloud may use cells:

• Grouping by Flavor/Specs/Feature – As mentioned previously, grouping hardware that supports similar flavors of instance types is a common use case. In Rackspace’s case, the vendor and model are matched within a cell as well. This approach helps facilitate live migration and simplifies management since an update for any particular type of server is easy to map to a portion of the fleet. Growth can then be managed on a cell-by-cell basis, as opposed to one massive collection of hypervisors.

• Failure Domains – Within each cell, there are services that are local to each (DB, scheduler, etc.) This gives you some failure tolerance. If a service crashes or has issues, then problems can be isolated to a single cell while other areas can continue to function. This doesn’t solve issues at the top level (more on how cells work later), but it does help build in some resiliency by spreading things around.

• Geographic Distribution – Some other organizations utilize cells to group by location. You can make a specific location a cell and manage all of the sites under one set of APIs, etc. In theory, cells can be used to represent subsections of a region or specific datacenter within one geographic location.

• Network Design Constraints – Often, the need to segment a cloud can be driven by the underlying network it resides on. Between VLANs, IP blocks and routing designs, there are a number of reasons an operator may choose to separate one collection of hosts from another. In Rackspace’s case, these factors also influence how we size our cells.

These are just a few reasons you might choose to use cells, but overall, the feature facilitates building and scaling a cloud in a controlled manner.

HOW CELLS WORK

Nova has a pretty straightforward process for scheduling builds:

1. The APIs, in conjunction with the database and scheduler service, route the build on to a message queue.
2. The designated host machine picks the message off the queue and begins the build process.

A more detailed examination can be found here, but below is a high-level architectural diagram to help you understand how cells function:

The changes from a non-cells deployment are largely:

• The scheduler moves from the API level down to the individual cells.
• A new service — cells — is used to help broker messages from the API-level message queues to those within the child cells.
• Databases are introduced in each cell.
• A message queue is introduced in each cell.
SIZING OF CELLS
What is the best approach for sizing cells? A number of factors contribute, including:

- **VM Density** – Based on the underlying hardware specs and the options an operator chooses to advertise for the flavors in that cell, the number of hosts included could be a function of the expected VM population. In Rackspace’s case, this is one of the factors in our cell sizing, but it is used in conjunction with other network related constraints

- **Cabinet density** – In some cases, the number of hosts in a cabinet can govern the size of a cell. This could also be driven by the number of cabs that can be placed in a particular part of a datacenter (if the cells represent any physical locality). A collection of N cabinets can easily become the repeatable template with other network related constraints.

- **Network Restrictions** – A number of network factors may influence cell sizing. Here are several we think about at Rackspace:
  - **Broadcast domains** – Early on, we had some cells that were sized pretty substantially (600+ hosts). They worked fine for the most part. One issue we ran into, however, was that bad actors and/or compromised instances could cause broadcast storms that were difficult to contain because of the total size of the cells and the underlying number of VMs on them — especially with respect to the secondary, internal network. This, along with other compromises that had already been mentioned, caused us to rethink the maximum size we would allow a cell to grow.

  Based on all of these things, most new cells at Rackspace fall between the 100 and 200 host range. For some of the older flavors, the largest size we chose was around 400. Best practices are always evolving as new hardware options and product offerings are introduced. However, you can take these sizes as a useful rule of thumb.

ISSUES WITH CELLS
Nova Cells is not perfect. Yes, it solved several challenges we had with scaling, but it also introduced a few quirks to keep in mind. Here are a few that Rackspace and others have encountered:

- **Feature gaps** – Nova Cells isn’t “fully supported” from a Nova perspective. Some features haven’t always worked as expected. Some prime examples are Security Groups (when using Nova-network) and Host Aggregates (both mentioned in the presentation linked earlier). Availability zones are also tricky when using cells. These are others, but they illustrate that many features are added to Nova that are written and tested solely by developers working in a non-cells environment. There are upstreamstream tests, but historically, they have had issues. This has led to the proliferation of features that don’t work for cells users. In fact, OpenStack documentation listed the feature as “experimental” for some time and now refers to the original implementation as Cells V2. (http://docs.OpenStack.org/openstack/Nova/Cells.html)

- **Project interoperability** – Several other OpenStack projects are not aware of cells. Neutron (network management) is most significant example. To work around this limitation, Rackspace built Quark, our own plugin (https://github.com/rackspace-cloud/services-neutron-openstack-environement). This plugin supports cells in Neutron is ongoing and likely to not be solved until after Nova releases Cells V2 (more on this later)

- **Double the data, double the fun** – Cells allows you to segment or shard your instance data being sent from the child cell to the API or parent level. Because there is a regular amount of instance data being sent from the child cell to the API or parent level, there are opportunities for things to get out of sync. For example, an instance might perform a snapshot. The task state for the VM is updated in the databases at both the cell and API layers to reflect this. Occasionally, both do not get the update when the work is complete. When this happens, the database at the cell level might show the task state is NULL, even as the top level shows the task is underway. This can cause issues if automations or other actions require an instance to have an active task state. There are other synchronization issues that may occur between the two layers, and they are the exception, but if your cloud grows large enough, they can become tangible.

- **Message overload** – All this data synchronization also introduces a large amount of messages that have to flow from child cell to parent. Also, some features have been introduced to influence the amount of messages as fixes to the synchronization issues above can drastically increase this volume of configurations. This can cause short-term delays in updates from builds and deletes reaching the API. Long term, an operator has to add and size cells service nodes at the API layer as the overall volume increases over time.

- **Set it and forget it** – Cells, as a feature, lacks useful controls. To enable a new cell, a database entry is made to the parent. After this, there is no extremely useful way to control builds. There is the concept of relative weighting of one cell against the others by adjusting DB values, but in practice, we’ve found it isn’t always successful if any one cell has significantly more resources available. There are plenty of times (service failures, IP limitations or other issues) when an operator needs to prevent builds from being scheduled to portions of the cloud. Since there is no off switch for cells, one solution could be to just remove the DB entry that linked the child to the parent. The downside is that users on the existing instances can take no action. There are cases (like IP depletion) where builds aren’t denied, but the newly created cells are still available, working as expected. Ultimately, Rackspace had to create a custom filter for our public cloud that helped the scheduling services know to ignore any particular cell.

You can find a few of the ways Rackspace and other OpenStack cells users get around some of these issues in this presentation from the Vancouver summit: https://www.OpenStack.orgsummit/vancouver-2015/summit-videos/presentation/rackspace-tricks-and-rhymes-cells-and-openstack. By no means is this a complete list, but it illustrates some of the challenges and tricks we have learned along the way.

---

So, builds are very similar in a cloud with cells as in one without. An extra step occurs, where the top-level services first determine which cell the build must go to. Once there, the specific host is identified and scheduled to once the build reaches that portion of the infrastructure.

entire /18 (for example) versus three-quarters of a /19.

- Broadcast domains – Early on, we had some cells that were sized pretty substantially (600+ hosts). They worked fine for the most part. One issue we ran into, however, was that bad actors and/or compromised instances could cause broadcast storms that were difficult to contain because of the total size of the cells and the underlying number of VMs on them — especially with respect to the secondary, internal network. This, along with other compromises that had already been mentioned, caused us to rethink the maximum size we would allow a cell to grow.
Many members of the Large Deployment Team (https://wiki.OpenStack.org/wiki/Large_Deployment_Team) have worked in past development cycles to introduce patches to fix some of these problems. Recently, however, all Cells V1 work has halted in preparation for the next version.

CELLS V2 – A COMMON PATH FORWARD

At the Paris summit (Kilo), the community agreed that cells features needed to be fully supported, or abandoned. Fortunately, most of the Nova Development Team saw cells as the best way to scale clouds. In conjunction with operators, the blueprints for the beginnings of Cells V2 were fleshed out in some of the design sessions. This work is still ongoing, but looks to solve many of the issues above.

For Cells V2 today, the takeaways are:

• Cells will be default. Everyone will get the feature — whether they use it or not. No longer will there be issues with other features not being supported because the functionality will be in the base install, and therefore, gating.

• Cells are already in the Mitaka release. As of the most recent release, the functionality for Cells V2 in a SINGLE cell configuration is present. Multi-cell support could come as early as the Newton release, but most believe it will be at least the Ocata version before multi-cell functionality is completed.

• For those running Cells V1, a migration path won’t be available until after the multi-cell features are complete. This is likely to mean that it will be the P release or later before there is an upstream method for current cells users to move. It also implies that an operator thinking of making the switch now should try to wait for the V2 features to land, if possible.

• The top-level DB is being reduced largely to a mapping of instance to cell. This helps reduce the duplication of data and rapid growth of the top-level DB in large environments, but it also means many features have to be added to the APIs to support communication with the child cells.

CONCLUSION

Cells are an excellent example of how Rackspace drives innovation and agility out to the broader OpenStack community. Cells has become an invaluable feature that helps scale the Rackspace Public Cloud. The fact that the OpenStack community is pushing forward with Cells V2 as a standard demonstrates the value of cells, opens the door to continued growth and accelerates our path toward a common feature set across our offerings. We are looking forward to participation in cells development and implementation, and to sharing what we’ve learned with organizations like yours and the OpenStack community at large.

Learn more about our work to enhance OpenStack clouds and deliver industry-leading reliability, unmatched scalability and innovation-driven agility through a superior approach. Sign up for our free OpenStack strategy session at http://go.rackspace.com/OpenStackExperts.
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